

UPPER BOUNDS OF DEPTH OF MONOMIAL IDEALS

DORIN POPESCU

ABSTRACT. Let $J \subsetneq I$ be two ideals of a polynomial ring S over a field, generated by square free monomials. We show that some inequalities among the numbers of square free monomials of $I \setminus J$ of different degrees give upper bounds of $\text{depth}_S I/J$.

Key words : Square free monomial ideals, Depth, Stanley depth.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 13C15, Secondary 13F20, 13F55.

INTRODUCTION

Let $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be the polynomial algebra in n variables over a field K , $d \leq t$ be two positive integers and $I \supsetneq J$, be two square free monomial ideals of S such that I is generated in degrees $\geq d$, respectively J in degrees $\geq d+1$. By [2, Theorem 3.1] and [4, Lemma 1.1] $\text{depth}_S I/J \geq d$. Let $\rho_t(I \setminus J)$ be the number of all square free monomials of degree t of $I \setminus J$.

Theorem 0.1. ([4, Theorem 2.2]) *If $\rho_d(I) > \rho_{d+1}(I \setminus J)$ then $\text{depth}_S I/J = d$, independently of the characteristic of K .*

The aim of this paper is to extend this theorem. Our Theorem 1.3 says that $\text{depth}_S I/J = t$ if $\text{depth}_S I/J \geq t$ and

$$\rho_{t+1}(I \setminus J) < \sum_{i=0}^{t-d} (-1)^{t-d+i} \rho_{d+i}(I \setminus J).$$

If $t = d$ then this result is stated in Theorem 0.1 (a previous result is given in [3]).

If $t = d+1$ then the above result says that $\text{depth}_S I/J \leq d+1$ if

$$\rho_{d+1}(I \setminus J) > \rho_{d+2}(I \setminus J) + \rho_d(I \setminus J).$$

A particular case with I principal is given, with a different proof, in our Proposition 1.1. Theorem 0.1 is a small step in an attempt to show Stanley's Conjecture for some classes of factors of square free monomial ideals (see our Remark 1.6 for some details) and we hope that our Theorem 1.3 will be useful in the same frame.

1. UPPER BOUNDS OF DEPTH

The aim of this section is to show the extension of Theorem 0.1 stated in the introduction. We start with a particular case.

The support from grant ID-PCE-2011-1023 of Romanian Ministry of Education, Research and Innovation is gratefully acknowledged.

Proposition 1.1. *Suppose that I is generated by a square free monomial f of degree d , and $s = \rho_{d+1}(I \setminus J) > \rho_{d+2}(I \setminus J) + 1$. Then $\text{depth}_S I/J = d + 1$.*

Proof. First suppose that $q = \rho_{d+2}(I \setminus J) > 0$. Let $g \in I \setminus J$ be a square free monomial of degree $d + 2$. Renumbering the variables x we may suppose that I is generated by $f = x_1 \cdots x_d$ and $g = fx_{d+1}x_{d+2}$. Since $g \notin J$ we see that $b_1 = fx_{d+1}$, $b_2 = fx_{d+2}$ are not in J . Again renumbering x we may suppose that $b_i = fx_{d+i}$, $i \in [s]$ are all the square free monomials of degree $d + 1$ from $I \setminus J$. Set $T = (b_3, \dots, b_s)$ (by hypothesis $s \geq 3$). In the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T/T \cap J \rightarrow I/J \rightarrow I/(T + J) \rightarrow 0$$

we see that the left end has depth $d + 1$ by Theorem 0.1 since $T \cap J$ is generated in degree $\geq d + 2$ and $\rho_{d+1}(T) = s - 2 > q - 1 = \rho_{d+2}(T \setminus T \cap J)$. On the other hand, $(T + J) : f = (x_{d+3}, \dots, x_n)$ because $b_1, b_2, g \notin T + J$. It follows that $\text{depth}_S I/(T + J) = d + 2$ and so the Depth Lemma says that $\text{depth}_S I/J = d + 1$.

Now suppose that $q = 0$. As above we may assume that $b_i = fx_{d+i}$, $i \in [s]$ are the square free monomials of degree $d + 1$ of $I \setminus J$. Then $J : f = (x_{d+s+1}, \dots, x_n) + L$, where L is the Veronese ideal generated by all square free monomials of degree 2 in $x_{d+1}, \dots, x_{d+s}$. It follows that $I/J \cong K[x_1, \dots, x_{d+s}]/L$ which has depth $d + 1$. $\square$

Next we present some details on the Koszul homology (see [1]) which we need for the proof of our main result. Let $\partial_i : K_i(x; I/J) \rightarrow K_{i-1}(x; I/J)$, $K_i(x; I/J) \cong S^{(n)}_i$, $i \in [n]$ be the Koszul derivation given by

$$\partial_i(e_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_i}) = \sum_{k=1}^i (-1)^{k+1} x_{j_k} e_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_{k-1}} \wedge e_{j_{k+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_i}.$$

Fix $0 \leq i < n - d$. Let $f_1, \dots, f_r$, $r = \rho_{d+i}(I \setminus J)$ be all square free monomials of degree $d + i$ from $I \setminus J$ and $b_1, \dots, b_s$, $s = \rho_{d+i+1}(I \setminus J)$ be all square free monomials of degree $d + i + 1$ from $I \setminus J$. Let $\text{supp } f_i = \{j \in [n] : x_j | f_i\}$, $e_{\sigma_i} = \bigwedge_{j \in ([n] \setminus \text{supp } f_i)} e_j$ and $\text{supp } b_k = \{j \in [n] : x_j | b_k\}$, $e_{\tau_k} = \bigwedge_{j \in ([n] \setminus \text{supp } b_k)} e_j$. We consider the element $z = \sum_{q=1}^r y_q f_q e_{\sigma_q}$ of $K_{n-d-i}(x; I/J)$, where $y_q \in K$. Then

$$\partial_{n-d-i}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^s \left(\sum_{q \in [r]} \varepsilon_{kq} y_q \right) b_k e_{\tau_k},$$

where $\varepsilon_{kq} \in \{1, -1\}$ if $f_q | b_k$, otherwise $\varepsilon_{kq} = 0$. Thus $\partial_{n-d-i}(z) = 0$ if and only if $\sum_{q \in [r]} \varepsilon_{kq} y_q = 0$ for all $k \in [s]$, that is $y = (y_1, \dots, y_r)$ is in the kernel of the linear map $h_{n-d-i} : K^r \rightarrow K^s$ given by the matrix ε_{kq} .

Now we will see when $z \in \text{Im } \partial_{n-d-i+1}$. Since the Koszul derivation is a graded map we note that $z \in \text{Im } \partial_{n-d-i+1}$ if and only if $z = \partial_{n-d-i+1}(w)$ for a $w = \sum_{p=1}^c u_p g_p e_{\nu_p}$, where $c = \rho_{d+i-1}(I \setminus J)$, $u_p \in K$, $g_1, \dots, g_c$ are all square free monomials of degree $d + i - 1$ from $I \setminus J$ and $e_{\nu_p} = \bigwedge_{j \in ([n] \setminus \text{supp } g_p)} e_j$. It follows

$$z = \sum_{q=1}^r \left(\sum_{p \in [c]} \gamma_{qp} u_p \right) f_q e_{\sigma_q},$$

2

where $\gamma_{qp} \in \{1, -1\}$ if $g_p | f_q$, otherwise $\gamma_{qp} = 0$. Thus $z \in \text{Im } \partial_{n-d-i+1}$ if and only if y belongs to the image of the linear map $h_{n-d-i+1} : K^c \rightarrow K^r$ given by the matrix γ_{qp} . When $i = 0$ we have $h_{n-d-i+1} = 0$.

Note that $\text{Im } h_{n-d-i+1} \subset \text{Ker } h_{n-d-i}$ and the inclusion is strict if and only if there exists $y \in K^r$ such that z induces a nonzero element in $H_{n-d-i}(x; I/J)$. This implies $\text{depth}_S I/J \leq d + i$ by [1, Theorem 1.6.17]. If $\text{depth } I/J > d + i$ then $\text{Im } \partial_{n-d-i+1} = \text{Ker } \partial_{n-d-i}$ and it follows $\text{Im } h_{n-d-i+1} = \text{Ker } h_{n-d-i}$.

Lemma 1.2. *Let $0 \leq i < n - d$, then the following statements hold independently of the characteristic of K .*

- (1) *the complex $K^c \xrightarrow{h_{n-d-i+1}} K^r \xrightarrow{h_{n-d-i}} K^s$ is exact if $\text{depth } I/J > d + i$,*
- (2) *if $\text{depth}_S I/J > d + i$ then $r = \text{rank } h_{n-d-i+1} + \text{rank } h_{n-d-i}$,*
- (3) *if $r > \text{rank } h_{n-d-i+1} + \text{rank } h_{n-d-i}$ then $\text{depth}_S I/J \leq d + i$.*

Proof. The first statement follows from above and the second one is only a consequence. If $r > \text{rank } h_{n-d-i+1} + \text{rank } h_{n-d-i}$ then $\text{Im } h_{n-d-i+1} \subsetneq \text{Ker } h_{n-d-i}$ and the last statement follows also from above. $\square$

Theorem 1.3. *Let $d \leq t \leq n$ be two integers and set*

$$\alpha_j = \sum_{i=0}^{j-d} (-1)^{j-d+i} \rho_{d+i}(I \setminus J),$$

for $d \leq j \leq t$. Suppose that $\text{depth}_S I/J \geq t$ and $\rho_{t+1}(I \setminus J) < \alpha_t$. Then $\text{depth}_S I/J = t$ independently of the characteristic of K .

Proof. We have $\alpha_j = \rho_j(I \setminus J) - \alpha_{j-1}$ for $d < j \leq t$. By Lemma 1.2 (2) we get h_{n-d} injective and $\rho_{d+i}(I \setminus J) = \text{rank } h_{n-d-i+1} + \text{rank } h_{n-d-i}$ for $0 < i < t - d$. It follows that $\rho_d(I \setminus J) = \text{rank } h_{n-d} = \alpha_d$, $\rho_{d+1}(I \setminus J) = \text{rank } h_{n-d} + \text{rank } h_{n-d-1} = \rho_d(I \setminus J) + \text{rank } h_{n-d-1}$, and so $\text{rank } h_{n-d-1} = \alpha_{d+1}$. By recurrence we get $\text{rank } h_{n-t+1} = \alpha_{t-1}$. Clearly, $\text{rank } h_{n-t} \leq \rho_{t+1}(I \setminus J)$. By hypothesis, $\rho_{t+1}(I \setminus J) < \alpha_t = \rho_t(I \setminus J) - \alpha_{t-1}$. It follows that $\text{rank } h_{n-t} < \rho_t(I \setminus J) - \alpha_{t-1} = \rho_t(I \setminus J) - \text{rank } h_{n-t+1}$ which gives $\text{depth}_S I/J = t$ by Lemma 1.2 (3). $\square$

Next example shows that the above theorem is tight.

Example 1.4. Let $n = 4$, $I = (x_1, x_3)$, $J = (x_1 x_4)$. Note that $x_1 x_2, x_1 x_3, x_2 x_3, x_3 x_4$ are all square free monomials of degree 2 from $I \setminus J$ and $x_1 x_2 x_3, x_2 x_3 x_4$ are all square free monomials of degree 3 from $I \setminus J$. Thus $\rho_2(I \setminus J) = 4 = \rho_1(I) + \rho_3(I \setminus J)$, but $\text{depth}_S I/J = 3$. On the other hand, taking $J' = J + (x_2 x_3 x_4)$ we see that $\text{depth}_S I/J' = 2$ which is given also by Theorem 1.3 since $\rho_3(I \setminus J') = 1$ and we have $\rho_2(I \setminus J') = 4 > 3 = \rho_1(I) + \rho_3(I \setminus J')$.

Corollary 1.5. *Suppose that $\text{depth}_S I/J \geq d + 2$. Then $\rho_d(I) \leq \rho_{d+1}(I \setminus J) \leq \rho_d(I) + \rho_{d+2}(I \setminus J)$. Moreover, if $\rho_{d+2}(I \setminus J) = 0$ then $\rho_d(I) = \rho_{d+1}(I \setminus J)$.*

Remark 1.6. Consider the poset $P_{I \setminus J}$ of all square free monomials of $I \setminus J$ (a finite set) with the order given by the divisibility. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a partition of $P_{I \setminus J}$ in intervals $[u, v] = \{w \in P_{I \setminus J} : u | w, w | v\}$, let us say $P_{I \setminus J} = \cup_i [u_i, v_i]$, the union being disjoint.

Define $\text{sdepth } \mathcal{P} = \min_i \deg v_i$ and $\text{sdepth}_S I/J = \max_{\mathcal{P}} \text{sdepth } \mathcal{P}$, where $\mathcal{P}$ runs in the set of all partitions of $P_{I \setminus J}$. This is the Stanley depth of I/J , in the idea of [2] (see also [5]). Stanley's Conjecture says that $\text{sdepth}_S I/J \geq \text{depth}_S I/J$. In the above corollary $\rho_{d+2}(I \setminus J) = 0$ implies $\text{sdepth}_S I/J \leq d+1$ and so $\text{depth}_S I/J \leq d+1$ if Stanley's Conjecture holds. This shows the weakness of the above corollary, which accepts the possibility to have $\text{depth}_S I/J \geq d+2$ when $\rho_d(I) = \rho_{d+1}(I \setminus J)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Revised edition. Cambridge University Press (1998).
- [2] J. Herzog, M. Vladoiu, X. Zheng, *How to compute the Stanley depth of a monomial ideal*, J. Algebra, 322 (2009), 3151-3169.
- [3] D. Popescu, *Depth and minimal number of generators of square free monomial ideals*, An. St. Univ. Ovidius, Constanta, 19(3), (2011), 163-166, arXiv:AC/1107.2621.
- [4] D. Popescu, *Depth of factors of square free monomial ideals*, arXiv:AC/1110.1963.
- [5] R. P. Stanley, *Linear Diophantine equations and local cohomology*, Invent. Math. 68 (1982) 175-193.

DORIN POPESCU, "SIMION STOILOW" INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF ROMANIAN ACADEMY, RESEARCH UNIT 5, P.O.BOX 1-764, BUCHAREST 014700, ROMANIA
E-mail address: `dorin.popescu@imar.ro`